



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,810	05/16/2006	Reinhard Schu	23579	5952
535	7590	03/27/2008	EXAMINER	
K.F. ROSS P.C. 5683 RIVERDALE AVENUE SUITE 203 BOX 900 BRONX, NY 10471-0900			ROSENBAUM, MARK	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		3725	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
03/27/2008	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/579,810	Applicant(s) SCHU, REINHARD
	Examiner Mark Rosenbaum	Art Unit 3725

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 February 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-41 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Election/Restrictions

In view of applicant's remarks, the restriction requirement between Groups I and II is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following are examples of the indefiniteness problems found throughout the claims. Claim 1, lines 2-3 and claim 26, line 5, the 'in particular' phrase 2-3 is indefinite as it is not clear what is being positively claimed. In claim 1, how can lines 11-20 be considered 'a first step' when a) the first step of the claim is a mixing step and b) these lines include more than one step? In claim 1, lines 21-26, aren't there a plurality of steps being claimed? In claim 1, line 23 is confusing and should be rewritten. In claim 1, last line, shouldn't -are separated out—be inserted at the end of the line? In claim 2, line 2, claim 29, line 4 and claim 28, line 4, shouldn't 'step' be changed to -steps--? In claim 3, line 5 and claim 37, line 4 the 'preferably' phrase is indefinite as it is not clear what is being claimed. There is no proper antecedent basis for claim 4, line 5 'the upper part; claim 5, line 5 'the rinsing water pumps'; claim 13, line 2 and claim 14, line 'the filtrates; claim 17, line 2 'the filtrate; claim

18, line 2 'the underflow'; claim 19, line 2 'the underflow'; claim 21, line 2 and claim 24, line 2 'the filtrate'; claim 30, line 4 'the plant tolerance'; claim 32, line 2 'the sludge'; claim 33, line 3 'the filtrate'. In claim 12, what does 'among others' include? In claim 20, line 5 is a single screw press being claimed or a plurality? In claim 26, what does a 'method known' include? In claim 35, last 5 lines, what apparatus is being claimed? In claim 41, line 2 shouldn't 'second' be changed to --first--? Note that these are examples only such that all of the claims should be reviewed for any further indefiniteness.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,810
Art Unit: 3725

Page 4

Mark Rosenbaum
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3725

MR

/Mark Rosenbaum/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725